# **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

Intermediate Court of Appeals Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia ATTACH COPIES OF ALL ORDERS BEING APPEALED

COMPLETE CASE TITLE AND CASE NUMBERS IN LOWER TRIBUNAL (Include all party designations, such as plaintiff, intervenor, etc. Use an extra sheet if necessary)  Tucker United, Friends of Blackwater, and West Virginia Highlands Conservancy v.  Director, Division of Air Quality, Department of Environmental Protection				
				<ol> <li>COUNTY OR LOWER TRIBUNAL APPEALED FROM AND NAME OF JUDGE(S) WHO ISSUED DECISION(S) (If the presiding judge was appointed by special assignment, include an explanation of the circumstances on an extra sheet.)</li> <li>WV Air Quality Board</li> <li>J. Michael Koon, Chairperson</li> </ol>
3. PETITIONER(S) (list all parties who join in the appeal and provide the name, firm name, address, phone number, and e-mail address of counsel of record for each party. Self-represented parties must provide an address, phone number, and e-mail address.)  Tucker United, Friends of Blackwater, and West Virginia Highlands Conservancy c/o  J. Brent Easton, Esq. PO Box 267  Davis, WV 26260  (304) 940-2627  brent@brenteastonpllc.com	4. RESPONDENT(S) (list all parties against whom the appeal is taken and provide the name, firm name, address, phone number, and e-mail address of counsel of record for each party. For self-represented parties provide an address, phone number, and e-mail address.)  Director, Division of Air Quality, Department of Environmental Protection by counsel, C. Scott Driver, Esq. 601 57th St., SE Charleston, WV 25304 (304) 926-0499 charles.s.driver@wv.gov			
provide the name, firm name, address, telephone num	ver tribunal action that will not be involved in the appeal and other and e-mail address of counsel of record for each non-enumber of any self-represented litigant who was a party to the opeal.)			

APPENDIX A – RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE

CASE NAME: Tucker United, et al. v. Director, Division of Air Quality, DEP

6. DATE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ON APPEAL 9/4/2025	7. CRIMINAL CASES: DEFENDANT'S SENTENCE AND BAIL STATUS
DATE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ON POST- TRIAL MOTIONS, IF ANY	n/a
all minor children, a description of the current status	et, provide a brief list of the names, ages, and parent's names of of the parental rights of each parent as of the filing of the notice accement of each child, and the name of each guardian ad litem
If your answer is no, was the order or judgment entered	the merits as to all issues and all parties? ■ YES / □ NO ed pursuant to R. Civ. P. 54(b)? □ YES / ■ NO ion as to why the order or judgment being appealed is proper
9b. Is the family court order entered under W. Va. Code 4	8-9-203(f)? □ YES / □ NO
10. Has this case previously been appealed? ☐ YES /	•
If yes, provide the case name, docket number and dispe	osition of each prior appeal.
11. Are there any related cases currently pending in the Int  ■ YES / □ NO If yes, cite the case, provide the statu	•
An appeal of the final air quality permit, raising separate iss	sues, is pending before the air quality board.
12. Is any part of the case confidential? ☐ YES / ■ NO If yes, identify which part and provide specific authority	ty for confidentiality.
11 01 7 1	ast list the names of parent corporations and the name of any orporation's stock. If this section is not applicable, please so
$\Box$ The corporation who is a party to this appeal de	oes not have a parent corporation and no publicly held
company owns ten percent or more of the corpo	oration's stock.
14. Do you know of any reason why one or more of the Ir	ntermediate Court Judges or Supreme Court Justices should be
disqualified from this case? ☐ YES / ■ NO If yes,	set forth the basis on an extra sheet. Providing the information
required in this section does not relieve a party from t with Rule 33.	he obligation to file a motion for disqualification in accordance

CASE NAME: Tucker United, et al. v. Director, Division of Air Quality, DEP

CHOLI MINIL	
	NOTICE OF APPEAL
15. Is a transcript of proceedings necessar	ary for the Court to fairly consider the assignments of error in the case?
☐ YES / ■ NO If yes, you must	t complete the appellate transcript request form on page 4 of this form.
16. NATURE OF THE CASE, RELIE please attach.)	F SOUGHT, and OUTCOME BELOW (Limit to two double-spaced pages;
	press the assignments in the terms and circumstances of the case but without
` 1	per each assignment of error and for each assignment: (1) state the issue; (2)
	by the court should review the issue. Limit to eight pages double-spaced; please
attach.)	ty the court should review the issue. Elline to eight pages double spaced, please
18. ATTACHMENTS	
Attach to this notice of appeal the folin response to sections 1-14 of this for pages, as material required by section exceed eight pages as required by section which you are appealing; (5) a copy extending the time period for appeal; Virginia if made by check or money this case. The statutory docket fee do of Review or original jurisdiction act Intermediate Court of Appeals; hower	llowing documents in order: (1) extra sheets containing supplemental information orm; (2) a double-spaced statement of the nature of the case, not to exceed two in 16 of this form; (3) a double-spaced statement of the assignments of error not to oction 17 of this form; (4) a copy of the lower tribunal's decision or order from of any order deciding a timely post-trial motion; (6) a copy of any order; and (7) the statutory docket fee of \$200 (made payable to the State of West order); or a copy of the lower court's granting of the application for fee waiver in oes not apply to criminal cases, appeals from the Workers' Compensation Board tions. The statutory docket fee does not apply to appeals from family court to the ever, the statutory docket fee applies to appeals from family court to the Supreme ectly or after an appeal to the Intermediate Court of Appeals.
<b>NOTICE:</b> You must file a separate affidavit and ap or anytime the court orders you to do so.	plication anytime your financial situation no longer meets the official guidelines
CERTIFICATIONS	
I hereby certify that I have performed a r of the Notice of Appeal are accurate and	review of the case that is reasonable under the circumstances and that the contents complete.
10/06/25	
Date	Counsel of record or self-represented party
	e below, copies of this notice of appeal and attachments were served on all parties the clerk of the circuit court from which the appeal is taken and to each court sted.
10/06/25	M

Date

Counsel of record or self-represented party

CASE NAME: Tucker United, et al. v. Director, Division of Air Quality, DEP

## APPELLATE TRANSCRIPT REQUEST FORM

#### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

- 1. If a transcript is necessary for your appeal, you <u>must</u> complete this form and make appropriate financial arrangements with each court reporter from whom a transcript is requested.
- 2. Specify each portion of the proceedings that must be transcribed for purposes of appeal. *See* Rule of Appellate Procedure 9(a).
- 3. A separate request form must be completed for each court reporter from whom a transcript is requested. If you are unsure of the court reporter(s) involved, contact the circuit clerk's office for that information.
- 4. Failure to make timely and satisfactory arrangements for transcript production, including necessary financial arrangements, may result in denial of motions for extension of the appeal period, or may result in dismissal of the appeal for failure to prosecute.

Case No.: 25-01-AQB	County:		Date of Final Order: _	
Date of Proceeding	Type of Proceeding	Length of Proceeding	Name of Judge(s)	Portions Previousl Prepared
09/03/25	motion to dismiss	0.2 hr	Air Quality Board	no
	transcripts requested her	rein are necessary for a fai	r consideration of the is	ssues set forth in the
hereby certify that the Notice of Appeal.  hereby further certify t	hat I have contacted the	rein are necessary for a fai		
hereby certify that the Notice of Appeal.  hereby further certify transcript have been made	hat I have contacted the de as follows:	court reporter and satisfac	ctory financial arrangen	nents for payment of t
Notice of Appeal.  hereby further certify transcript have been made.  Private funds. (De	hat I have contacted the de as follows:		ctory financial arrangent	nents for payment of tach documentation.)
hereby certify that the Notice of Appeal.  hereby further certify transcript have been made Private funds. (De Criminal or habea eligible.)  Abuse and neglect	hat I have contacted the de as follows:  eposit of \$  s corpus appeal with fee tor delinquency appeal	court reporter and satisface enclosed with containing the waiver (Attach order approximately with fee waiver (Attach or	etory financial arrangement of the second se	nents for payment of to tach documentation.) r stating defendant is
hereby certify that the Notice of Appeal.  hereby further certify transcript have been made Private funds. (De Criminal or habea eligible.)  Abuse and neglec	hat I have contacted the de as follows:  eposit of \$  s corpus appeal with fee tor delinquency appeal	court reporter and satisface enclosed with containing waiver (Attach order app	etory financial arrangement of the second se	nents for payment of to tach documentation.) r stating defendant is

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL – EXTRA SHEET

CASE NAME: Tucker United, et al. v. Director, Division of Air Quality, DEP

Response to SECTION: 16:

On March 18, 2025, Fundamental Data LLC, a Virginia-based company, submitted to WVDEP a heavily-redacted air quality permit application (No. R13-3713). The numerous redactions were claimed to be "trade secrets" and/or "confidential business information" (CBI). WVDEP initially questioned whether the redacted information qualified as "trade secrets/CBI," requesting that Fundamental Data provide further support for the claim. Fundamental Data replied in timely fashion and WVDEP approved the claim of "trade secrets/CBI" without debate, later issuing a draft permit. Thereafter, Petitioners filed their appeal of the draft permit to WVDEP/Air Quality Board (AQB), citing numerous grounds, including but not limited to the "trade secrets/CBI" issue.

WVDEP filed a motion to dismiss the appeal. WVDEP argued that the AQB lacked jurisdiction to hear an appeal of a draft permit, asserting that a draft permit is not an "order, permit, or official action" which would bring it under AQB's jurisdiction. Notably, "order, permit, and official action" are not defined in any germane rules or law. Petitioners responded in writing, asserting that while the draft permit was not a final permit, WVDEP's decision to maintain the redacted application information as "trade secrets/CBI" was both an order and official action. Moreover, Petitioners asserted that despite the "draft" permit status, WVDEP's order upholding the redactions in the draft permit materially affected their abilities, as well as those of other citizens, to provide meaningful comment in the permitting process. The public comment period only occurs while a permit is in "draft" status.

A short, telephonic hearing on WVDEP's motion to dismiss was held on September 3, 2025. Following the hearing, AQB

	entered an order dismissing Petitioner's appeal, finding that "the appeal was based upon a draft permit and the Board concludes that a draft permit is not an official action of the WVDEP because, by its very nature, a draft permit can be modified before the actual permit is issued."
	Petitioners appeal that order, seeking a remand for further evidentiary proceedings before AQB.
Contract of the Contract of th	
The same of the same of the same of	
The Party and September 54 and 18	
and the second of a facility of the second	
THE ASSESSMENT THE PARTY AND ASSESSMENT	
THE REAL WORLD IN THE PARTY IN	
Canal Contract Contract of	

# **NOTICE OF APPEAL – EXTRA SHEET**

CASE NAME: Tucker United, et al. v. Director, Division of Air Quality, DEP

Response to SECTION: 17:			
The issue is whether WVDEP/AQB lacked jurisdiction to hear an appeal of a draft air quality permit on the basis that a draft permit is not an "order, permit, or official action" which would bring it under AQB's jurisdiction. The Court should review the issue because "order, permit, and official action" are not defined in any germane rules or law. AQB's decision was therefore not based in any legal authority.			

# WEST VIRGINIA AIR QUALITY BOARD CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA

TUCKER UNITED, FRIENDS OF BLACKWATER, and WEST VIRGINIA HIGHLANDS CONSERVANCY,

Appellants,

Appeal No.: 25-01-AQB

v.

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF AIR QUALITY,
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.

Appellee.

### ORDER GRANTING APPELLEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS

On July 18, 2025, Appellee, Director, Division of Air Quality, Department of Environmental Protection ("Appellee"), by and through its counsel, moved this Board for a dismissal of this appeal. Counsel for Appellants filed a response on July 28, 2025. An oral argument hearing on the motion to dismiss was held on September 3, 2025.

Based upon the representations of counsels' arguments and after a review of the Board's procedural rules and the West Virginia Rules of Civil Procedure, the Board GRANTS

Appellee's Motion to Dismiss and hereby ORDERS that this appeal be DISMISSED in its entirety and removed from the docket. The Board notes that dismissal is granted because the appeal was based upon a draft permit and the Board concludes that a draft permit is not an official action of the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection because, by its very nature, a draft permit can be modified before the actual permit is issued. The Board further ORDERS that a copy of this executed Order be mailed to counsel of record.

It is so **ORDERED** and **ENTERED** this 4<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2025.

& Michael Loon

J. Michael Koon, Chairperson

# WEST VIRGINIA AIR QUALITY BOARD CHARLESTON

TUCKER UNITED, FRIENDS OF BLACKWATER, and WEST VIRGINIA HIGHLANDS CONSERVANCY,

Appellants,

Appeal No.: 25-01-AQB

v.

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF AIR QUALITY, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,

Appellee.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that I, Kenna M. DeRaimo, Clerk for the West Virginia Air Quality Board, have this day, the 4<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2025, served a true copy of the foregoing **ORDER**GRANTING APPELLEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS, by delivering the same upon the following:

J. Brent Easton, Esq.
BRENT EASTON ATTORNEY AT LAW PLLC
Post Office Box 267
Davis, West Virginia 26260

Via Certified First-Class U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail
9489 0090 0027 6692 2646 96

Charles S. Driver, Esq.

WV DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
OFFICE OF LEGAL SERVICES
601 57th Street, S.E.
Charleston, West Virginia 25304

Via Interdepartmental Mail and Electronic Mail

Kenna M. DeRaimo, Clerk